


SOIL SURVEY  
of  
CROSS LAKE PROVINCIAL PARK  
and  
INTERPRETATION FOR RECREATIONAL USE

by

G.M. Greenlee, P.Ag.  
Soils Division  
ALBERTA RESEARCH COUNCIL  
1975



## PREFACE

A standard explanatory format, beginning with the section entitled "Preface" and ending with the section entitled "Glossary" has been written. Since the same explanatory remarks will pertain to reports written for each of the Alberta Provincial Parks and other areas surveyed, the same standard format will be presented at the beginning of each report.

## CONTENTS

PREFACE	1
CONTENTS	2
INTRODUCTION	3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	3
METHODS	4
GENERAL SOIL MAP	4
SOIL CHARACTERISTICS AND INTERPRETATIONS FOR RECREATIONAL USES	6
EXPLANATION OF SOIL INTERPRETATIONS	11
DEFINITION OF SELECTED USES	12
Camp Areas	12
Foundations for Low Buildings	13
Play Areas	13
Paths and Trails	13
Picnic Areas	13
Septic Tank Filter Fields	14
Road & Parking Location & Suitability for Subgrade Material	14
Lawns and Landscaping	14
Sanitary Land Fills	14
Reservoir Sites	14
Suitability as a Source of Topsoil	15
Suitability as a Source of Sand and Gravel	15
REFERENCES	15
GLOSSARY	17
SOIL REPORT	22

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		
1.	Topographic classes and symbols	5
2.	Stoniness ratings	6

## INTRODUCTION

The growth in population and per capita income has and will continue to exert an unprecedented demand on the natural resources of Alberta. The nature of today's city living and working makes apparent the recreational value of Provincial Parks. Men and women often work in large factories and plants or in crowded offices, far removed from nature or a natural environment. The work week of forty hours or less, however, provides people with a relatively large amount of leisure time and prompts a constant search for off-time recreation to refresh the spirit. It is this trend in our civilization today that places high priority on comprehensive planning that will bring together the special interests in land use, watershed protection, wildlife, forestry, and parks and recreation based on carefully determined requirements.

Soil being one of the basic resources which man manipulates in his land use activities, requires prudent use, protection and proper management in order to realize its inherent potential on a sustained yield basis (8). Recognition of natural phenomena and physical limitations is no less important in campground construction or septic tank location than it is in crop production. The kind of soil dictates to a large degree the type and location of recreational facilities (8). Some soils are not desirable sites for campgrounds, play areas, picnic grounds, cabin sites or nature study areas; other soils are very desirable sites for recreational uses. Knowledge of the soils of an area provides fundamental information needed in recreation planning. The soil information contained in the reports to follow is designed to be of assistance in planning future development in various Provincial Parks within the Province of Alberta.

Detailed and semi-detailed soil surveys were conducted in the following Provincial Parks during the summer of 1974: Chain Lakes, Winagami Lake, Gregoire Lake, Long Lake and Cross Lake. Also included were areas in the vicinities of Lake Newell, the Wildcat Hills, and Pinehurst Lake. The total area surveyed was approximately 29,400 acres.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Alberta Research supplied the funds and staff for the laboratory and drafting work, and for the writing of the reports. The Parks Planning Branch of the Department

of Lands and Forests provided the aerial photographs, as well as part of the funds and staff for the field work. Alberta Research published the report and compiled the soil map. The University of Alberta provided office and laboratory space.

Mrs. Pal Foster typed and assisted in compiling and proof reading the reports. Mr. Z. Widtman drafted the final soil map, while Mr. J. Beres determined the physical properties of the soils. The soil chemical analyses were determined by the Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

Able field assistance was given by Mr. E. Marchuk.

Special acknowledgment is given to the Park Wardens who co-operated by allowing soil investigations to be conducted throughout the parks and also invariably offered assistance.

## METHODS

The areas surveyed were traversed by motor vehicle along all roads and negotiable trails, and on foot along cut-lines and non-negotiable trails. Soil pits were dug at frequent intervals to depths of 2 to 4 feet, to examine and describe soil horizons and classify the soils. Detailed field soil descriptions were made. Soil boundaries were drawn on aerial photographs with the aid of a pocket stereoscope.

Representative surface and shallow subsurface soil samples were collected for chemical analyses and subsurface samples were collected at depths of 4 to 6 feet for physical analyses.

## GENERAL SOIL MAP

The soils were classified according to the System of Soil Classification for Canada (4). The areal extent of each different kind of soil is indicated on the soil map. An explanation of the map symbols follows:

Example:

1 ← map unit  
C4 ← surface stoniness rating (Table 2)  
↙ topographic class (Table 1)

The map units generally refer to single soil series or soil associations. A soil series is a grouping of all soils which are similar in the number, color, texture, structure,

relative arrangement, chemical composition, and thickness of horizons, as well as in the geology of the soil parent material (3). A soil association simply consists of a number of soil series occurring together in characteristic patterns.

Where a map unit consists of a single series, other soil series may be found in close association. However, the dominant series makes up to 80 to 90% of the map unit; the other series are present in such minor amounts that their presence is not considered significant enough to affect the use of a particular map unit for recreation.

Where a map unit consists of a soil association, it was not possible to outline each separate series in the time available to complete the soil survey. However, different series in an association generally possess very similar properties. The approximate percentage of each series comprising the association is indicated in the soil report. Minor insignificant amounts of other series may be present but are not mentioned in the definition of the association.

Other miscellaneous symbols appearing on the soil map are defined or explained in the soil report.

The topographic classes and stoniness ratings are defined in Tables 1 and 2, which follow:

Table 1. Topographic classes and symbols (3)

Simple topography Single slopes (regular surface)		Complex topography Multiple slopes (irregular surface)		Slope %
A	depressional to level	a	nearly level	0 to 0.5
B	very gently sloping	b	gently undulating	0.5 to 2
C	gently sloping	c	undulating	2 to 5
D	moderately sloping	d	gently rolling	5 to 9
E	strongly sloping	e	moderately rolling	9 to 15
F	steeply sloping	f	strongly rolling	15 to 30
G	very steeply sloping	g	hilly	30 to 60
H	extremely sloping	h	very hilly	60

Table 2. Stoniness ratings (5)

---

Stony 0 - (stone-free land)	too few stones to be of any hindrance to recreation
Stony 1 - (slightly stony land)	some stones, only slight to no hindrance to recreation
Stony 2 - (moderately stony land)	enough stones to cause some interference with recreation
Stony 3 - (very stony land)	enough stones to constitute a serious handicap to recreation - some clearing is required
Stony 4 - (exceedingly stony land)	enough stones to prevent recreational use unless considerable clearing is done
Stony 5 - (excessively stony land)	too stony to permit any recreational use (boulder or stone pavement)

---

#### SOIL CHARACTERISTICS AND INTERPRETATIONS FOR RECREATIONAL USE

Soil surveys provide for classifying, defining and delineating each kind of soil and making predictions of soil behaviour under specific management (8). The soils within an area are mapped and classified without regard for existing or expected land ownership boundaries, or types of use. Each delineated soil is defined so that the information is available for planning different kinds of land use.

Each kind of soil has its own peculiar set of characteristics and qualities which are described in terms that can be observed (8). These include soil texture; color; structure; consistence; depth (to rock, hardpan, water table, etc.); kind and amount of coarse fragments; kind, thickness and sequence of soil layers; organic matter content; reaction; and slope. When accurately defined a specific soil can be distinguished from all other kinds of soil.

Most soils can be used for recreational activities of some kind. Some have no soil limitations for specific kinds of recreational uses; others have moderate to severe limitations for certain uses. Many soil properties affect the use limitations of soils for recreation, and the effects of a given soil property often vary with different uses (2).

The soil properties affecting most recreational uses include susceptibility to flooding, wetness, slope, and surface stoniness (2). Other soil properties also having an effect include: depth to sand and gravel, an impeding horizon and surface soil texture, as they affect permeability and erodibility; texture and plasticity, as they

affect shrink-swell potential, and susceptibility to frost heaving; soluble sulphate content, as it affects concrete corrosion hazard; and salinity of the topsoil.

Soils that are subject to flooding during the season of use are considered to have severe limitations for recreation facilities such as camping areas, building sites, and roads. Such areas require permanent design considerations (2,8). These soils should not be developed for campsites or building sites unless they are protected by dikes, levees or other flood prevention structures, which may be uneconomical. These soils may be better suited for hiking or nature study areas, or for greenbelt open space, if the flooding is not too frequent (8). Montgomery and Edminster (8) suggest one or two floodings during the season of use constitutes only a moderate limitation for picnic areas, playground areas and hiking trails. These are the less permanent facilities that can be moved with relative ease. Thus, the soils can be managed to a high level without maintenance costs rising beyond the financial capacity of the administration (2).

Soils that are wet all year, even if not flooded, have severe limitations for campsites, roads, hiking trails, playgrounds and picnic areas (8). The economic feasibility of installing subsurface drainage in these soils is questionable (2). Soils that are wet only part of the year or those with a water table that fluctuates without actually reaching the surface are not easily detected. These soils are considered to have moderate to severe limitations for most recreational uses, and if possible should be avoided for the more permanent facilities such as camping areas and building sites. With careful planning, design, and management, however, these soils can be used for most recreational facilities. Soils that dry out slowly after rains also present problems where intensive use is contemplated. The soils that are dry during the season of use and have a water table greater than 3 feet from the surface are considered to have slight to no limitations for most recreational uses (2).

Droughty or very rapidly drained soils also have limitations for many recreational uses. On such sites grass cover needed for playing fields is difficult to establish and maintain. Access roads may be excessively dusty. Vehicles are easily mired down in sandy soils and soil blowing is common. Knowledge of these soil problems enables planners to use corrective conservation practices, such as irrigation, or to choose alternative locations (8).



The ability of a soil to support a load is important in many kinds of recreational activities. Some soils when wet fail to support structures such as access roads, trails and buildings (8).

Slope affects the use of soils for recreation (2,8). Generally, slopes of less than 2% offer no limitations for use as playgrounds, campsites, sites for recreation buildings, roads and trails. Slopes greater than 9% constitute a severe limitation for playground areas, since levelling costs would become prohibitive. Slopes of more than 15% constitute a severe limitation for camping areas, picnic areas and some building sites for the same reason. The smaller areas required for these facilities as compared to playground areas, account for the greater tolerance. On the other hand, steeply sloping soils are essential for ski runs and are desirable for hiking areas and scenic values. Hiking trails are not limited unless slopes are greater than 30%. Of course steep, gently sloping and moderately sloping soils can be levelled for campsites, playgrounds and building sites, where the cost is justified (8). Where this is done it is especially urgent that effective soil conservation practices be applied and maintained, based on the specific conditions at hand.

Permeability is an important property affecting the recreational use of soils (2). Since no permeability measurements were made, it has been estimated from a consideration of texture, structure and depth to an impeding horizon in the profile (9). Soils with very rapid to moderately rapid permeability have no limitations, and soils with slow and very slow permeability have severe limitations(8). The same classes apply to suitability for road subgrade material but are reversed when considering suitability for reservoir sites. Soils are rated for this purpose on their capacity to hold water without allowing seepage. It should be noted that the degree of limitation due to permeability will vary with climate. In high rainfall areas permeability is much more important than in low rainfall areas (2).

Surface stoniness limits the use of some soils for recreational facilities (2). Generally the non-stony (class 0) to slightly stony (class 1) land offers no limitation for recreational facilities. Very stony (class 3) to excessively stony (class 5) land offers severe limitations for camping areas, playground areas and building sites. The expense of removing the stone hazard is considered prohibitive (2). The very stony (class 3) land is considered to constitute only a moderate limitation for picnic areas and hiking

trails because of the lesser areal intensity of use associated with these facilities. In some instances it is feasible to remove the stones, thus eliminating the hazard (8). Rounded gravels and stones present hazards on steeply sloping soils used for foot trails.

Surface texture is an important soil property to consider (2,8). High clay or sand content in the surface horizon constitutes a severe limitation for playgrounds, campsites or other uses that involve heavy foot traffic by people or horses. Soils high in clay become sticky and slippery when wet and dry out slowly after rains. On the other hand loose sandy soils are undesirable as they are unstable when dry, making it difficult to establish sod grasses capable of withstanding concentrated foot traffic. Generally, sandy loam and loam surface soil textures are the most desirable for recreational uses involving heavy use by people.

Soil depth affects many uses (8). Soils underlain by bedrock or sand and gravel at shallow depths cannot be levelled for playgrounds except at high cost. Roads, trails, basements and reservoirs are very difficult to construct on soils with shallow bedrock, and soils with shallow sand and gravel are undesirable sites for reservoirs. It is difficult to establish vegetation on shallow soils overlying impervious soil layers, rock or sand and gravel, thus making them poor locations for playing fields and other intensive use areas.

Sewage disposal is also an important consideration in designing recreation areas (2). Some soils absorb septic effluent rapidly and other soils absorb it very slowly (8). Soils that are slowly or rapidly permeable, poorly drained, subject to flooding, shallow to rock, or steeply sloping all have severe limitations for septic tank filter fields. These include soils of high clay content, sandy soils and Gleysolic soils. The most desirable soils for sewage disposal have a moderate permeability, are well drained and are situated in nearly level areas (2). The most desirable soils for sewage disposal are also the most desirable soils for sanitary land fills. In some cases where soils cannot handle the volume of waste involved, sewage lagoons can be used (8). These also are feasible only in soils that meet the special requirements for sewage lagoons.

Shrink-swell potential is inferred from Atterberg limits (2). Soils with low to medium shrink-swell potential are considered to have no to slight limitations for recreational facilities. Soils with a very high shrink-swell potential are considered to constitute severe limitations for building sites and road subgrade material as these soils tend to be unstable with changing moisture conditions. Soils with a high shrink-swell

potential offer moderate limitations for use and thus should be avoided if possible.

The suitability of the underlying soil material for road subgrade depends upon the additional property of susceptibility to frost action(2). Generally soils high in silt content are highly susceptible to frost action. Other factors, such as the availability of water, also affect this parameter. The availability of water is dependent upon climatic conditions and depth to water table. Thus, soils high in silt content may not necessarily undergo appreciable frost heaving unless they are imperfectly or poorly drained, or subject to high rainfall shortly before freezing. This is especially true in Alberta.

The soluble sulphate content of the underlying soil material is an important factor for buildings with concrete foundations, as well as for underground conduits (2). The U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (14) has established classes for sulphate attack on concrete. Soils with 0 to 0.1 % soluble sulphate content are considered to have no limitations for standard concrete foundations, and soils with 0.1 to 0.2% are considered to have slight limitations. Soils with 0.2 to 0.5% soluble sulphate content are considered to have moderate limitations, and foundations may require sulphate resistant concrete. Soils with greater than 0.5% soluble sulphate are considered to have severe limitations and should be avoided (2).

Salinity and depth of topsoil affect soil suitabilities for lawns and landscaping, and sources of topsoil. An electrical conductivity of less than 1 (mmhos./cm.) and a depth of topsoil of more than 6 inches offer no limitations. An electrical conductivity of more than 3 (mmhos./cm.) and a depth of topsoil of less than 3 inches render severe limitations.

Productive capacity of soils for vegetation of different kinds is closely related to the feasibility of many recreational enterprises (8). The ability of soils to grow sods that can take concentrated human traffic has already been noted as a factor in such areas as playgrounds and campsites. The development of such vegetative conservation practices as shade tree plantings, living fences, plant screens, and barriers to trespass is guided by soil conditions. The capacity of an area to produce economically harvestable crops of game is dependent in part upon the productive ability of its soils (8).

Thus we find that basic soil qualities and characteristics are closely associated with the various types of outdoor recreational activities (8). By knowing the characteristics and qualities of the different kinds of soils and their behaviours, and with the aid

of a soil map, soil scientists and other specialists can develop soil interpretations for recreational uses. Interpretations for recreation can best be made locally by those familiar with the soils and conditions in the area (8).

### EXPLANATION OF SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

Soil limitation or suitability ratings are for evaluating each soil for a particular use(9). Interpretations are based on evaluation of the soil to a depth of about 40 inches; however, some interpretations can be made below the 5 foot depth. These interpretations are made largely from detailed soil descriptions obtained during the field soil mapping program. The limited time, resources and trained personnel available did not permit such determinations as bulk density and percolation rate. Only surface and shallow subsurface soil samples were collected for routine chemical analyses, while only limited numbers of deeper subsurface samples were collected for engineering tests. Engineering properties of some map units sampled were extrapolated to other map units not sampled, where soils of the different map units were developed on the same or very similar parent materials.

It is important that the proper perspective be placed on the use of soil interpretations in recreation planning (8). The interpretations are for soils in the natural state only and not for disturbed areas. Nor do they include other factors, such as location, aesthetic values, and nearness to population centres. A soil survey properly interpreted is a useful guide for general recreation planning and in site selection. However, all soil differences which occur in the field cannot be shown on a general soil map. Thus for design and construction of specific recreational facilities, an "on-site" investigation is often needed.

The soils are grouped into 3 categories according to their limitations or suitabilities for specific uses. They are evaluated by considering the interaction of the various properties to give an overall degree of limitation or suitability to each map symbol. The 3 categories of limitations are as follows:

- (1) S - None to slight soil limitations - Soils relatively free of limitations that affect the intended use, or the limitations are easy to overcome.

- (2) M - Moderate soil limitations - Soils having limitations that need to be recognized but can be overcome with correct planning, careful design and good management.
- (3) V - Severe soil limitations - Soils with limitations severe enough to make the proposed use questionable. It does not mean the soil cannot be used for a specific use but it does mean that careful planning and design, and very good management are needed. This often includes major soil reclamation work. In many cases the limitations will not be economically feasible to correct.

The soils are rated as good (G), fair (F), or poor (P) as sources of topsoil, or sand and gravel. These suitability ratings correspond to the limitations of none to slight (S), moderate (M), and severe (V) respectively and the definitions are essentially the same. The soils may also be rated "unsuitable" as sources of topsoil, or sand and gravel.

Interpretations are not included for wildlife use. However, it is recognized that all soils are suited for some form of wildlife and that this is an important use which is compatible with certain other uses.

#### DEFINITION OF SELECTED USES

- (1) Camp Areas are considered to be used intensively for tents, truck campers and small camp trailers with the accompanying activities of outdoor living (9). It is assumed that little site preparation will be done other than shaping and levelling for tent and parking areas. The soils should be suitable for heavy foot traffic and for limited vehicular traffic. Flooding hazard, depth to water table, slope, permeability, stoniness and surface texture affect suitability for this use. Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not rated but is an item to consider in final evaluation of the site (see ratings for lawns and landscaping).

- (2) Foundations for Low Buildings (with or without basements)- Interpretations indicate limitations for construction and maintenance of homes and small buildings (9). They are affected by soil characteristics such as flooding hazard, wetness, slope, stoniness, depth to bedrock, shrink-swell potential, sulphate content, and depth to sand and gravel. (Limitations for on-site sewage disposal is rated separately.)
- (3) Play Areas for recreation apply to soils that are to be used intensively for organized games such as football, baseball, volleyball, horseshoes and other similar organized games (9). They are subject to heavy foot traffic. A level surface, good drainage, and a surface soil texture and consistence that gives a firm surface which is not slippery and sticky when wet is generally required. Soils that are sloping, very stony, very shallow, subject to blowing, subject to flooding, or have seasonally high water tables or slow permeability are rated as having severe limitations.
- (4) Paths and Trails - Uses are local and crosscountry footpaths, and bridle paths. It is assumed that these areas will be used as they occur in nature and that little or no soil will be moved (excavated or filled)(9). Soil features, such as surface texture and structure, that affect trafficability, dust, and design and maintenance of trafficways should be given special emphasis. Soils that flood frequently, are poorly drained or very stony, or have clay or sand surface textures or steep slopes are rated as having severe limitations.
- (5) Picnic Areas are considered to be extensively used as park-type picnic grounds and are subject to heavy foot traffic (9). It is assumed that most vehicular traffic will be confined to access roads and parking areas. Flood hazard, wetness, slope, permeability, surface stoniness and surface texture affect suitability for this use. Soil suitability for growing vegetation is not rated but is an item to consider in final evaluation of the site. (See ratings for lawns and landscaping.)

- (6) Septic Tank Filter Fields - Successful operation of the system depends upon the ability of the soil to absorb and filter the liquid or effluent passed through the tile field (9). Filter fields are influenced by the ease of downward movement of effluent through the soil. Soils with slow permeability are rated severe. Other soil properties that affect septic tank filter fields are flooding hazard, seasonal high groundwater, slope, depth to bedrock, and depth to sand and gravel. Clean sands and gravels with rapid permeability may constitute a hazard for groundwater contamination.
- (7) Road and Parking Location and Suitability for Subgrade Material - These uses are based on features that affect performance for the location of roads, streets and parking areas (9). The main factors considered are flooding hazard, shrink-swell potential, depth to bedrock and susceptibility to frost heave.
- (8) Lawns and Landscaping - The soil is rated on the assumption that it will be used for lawn turf, shrubs and trees without need for adding topsoil for good establishment, and also that irrigation is provided (9). Soil characteristics affecting this use are flooding hazard, depth to seasonal high water table, slope, stoniness, surface soil texture, depth of topsoil, salinity and depth to bedrock or sand and gravel.
- (9) Sanitary Land Fills are disposal areas for trash and garbage. A good sanitary land fill should be usable all year and should operate without contaminating water supplies or causing a health hazard (9). Soil factors considered in rating the limitations for use are flood hazard, seasonal high water table, slope, permeability, depth to bedrock and depth to sand and gravel.
- (10) Reservoir Sites are rated on the adequacy of the soil material to prevent seepage from the reservoir (9). Soil properties most important are slope, permeability, depth to bedrock and depth to sand and gravel. Depth to

water table influences the depth of water in dugouts, pits, etc. in all kinds of soil materials so is not rated for this use.

- (11) Suitability as a Source of Topsoil - Topsoil is considered to be used for establishing lawns (9). A rating of "good" means the soil provides a good source of topsoil for removal and transfer to another place, or it can be used in place. Soils are rated on flooding hazard, wetness of the surface layer of undisturbed soils, slope, stoniness, surface texture, depth of topsoil and salinity.
- (12) Suitability as a Source of Sand and Gravel - A particular area outlined on the soil map can be identified as predominantly sand or predominantly gravel by consulting the soil report for a description of the map unit under consideration. Only the suitability as a source for sand and gravel is rated (9). No attempt is made to rate the quality of the sand and gravel for specific uses such as road base, concrete, etc. Quality determinations should be made at the site of the source, since both grain sizes and shapes of sand and gravel determine suitability for specific uses (9). Soil limitations considered at the site of the source are flooding hazard, wetness, depth to bedrock (influences thickness of sand and gravel deposit), and depth to sand and gravel (determines thickness of overburden that must be removed to reach sand and gravel deposit).

#### REFERENCES

1. American Society for Testing and Materials. 1970. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part II. Philadelphia. 982 pp.
2. Brocke, L. K. 1970. Soil survey interpretations for recreation site planning in two Alberta provincial parks. Unpubl. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton.
3. Canada Department of Agriculture. 1972. Glossary of Terms in Soil Science. Publication 1459, Canada Dept. of Agric., Ottawa. 66 pp.
4. Canada Department of Agriculture. 1974. The system of soil classification for Canada. Queen's Printer, Ottawa. 255 pp.



5. Committee on Classification of Materials for Subgrades and Granular Type Roads. 1945. Classification of highway subgrade materials. Highway Research Board, Proc. 25th annual meeting, pp. 375-392.
6. Greenlee, G. M. 1971. Detailed soil survey and interpretation for recreational use at Miquelon Lake, Alberta. Alberta Institute of Pedology, Number M-71-4, U. of A., Edmonton. 8 pp.
7. Means, R. E. and J. V. Parcher. 1964. Physical properties of soils. Charles E. Merrill, Columbus, Ohio. 464 pp.
8. Montgomery, P. H. and F. C. Edminster. 1966. The use of soil surveys in planning for recreation. In: Soil Surveys and Land Use Planning, Bartelli et al. (ed.) Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. and Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 104-112.
9. Olsen, J. A., B. F. Leeson and G. A. Nielson. 1971. Soil interpretations for land use planning and development in the Gallatin Canyon area, Montana. Misc. Rep. No. 10, Montana Agric. Exp. Sta., Montana State U., Bozeman, Montana. 23 pp.
10. O'Neal, A. M. 1952. A key for evaluating soil permeability by means of certain field clues. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 16:312-315.
11. Portland Cement Association. 1962. PCA Soil Primer. Portland Cement Ass'n., Chicago. 52 pp.
12. Soil Science Society of America. 1970. Glossary of Soil Science Terms. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer., Madison, Wisconsin. 27 pp.
13. Swenson, E. G. 1971. Concrete in Sulphate Environments. CBD 136. Can. Building Digest, NRC, Ottawa. 4 pp.
14. United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1962. Pavement design for frost conditions. Eng. Manual 1110-1-306, pp. 5-8.
15. United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1961. Procedures for foundation design of buildings and other structures (except hydraulic structures). Eng. Manual 1110-345-147. pp. 21-26.
16. United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1961. Trafficability of soils, soil classification. Tech. Memorandum No. 3-240, 16th supplement. Waterways Exp. Sta., Mississippi. pp. 10.

17. United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1957. The unified soil classification system. Tech. Memorandum No. 3-357. Appendix B. Waterways Exp. Sta., Mississippi. pp. B2-B6.
18. United States Salinity Laboratory Staff. 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. Hdbk. 60, U. S. Dept. Agric., Washington. 160 pp.
19. U.S.D.I. Bureau of Reclamation. 1966. Concrete Manual. 7th ed. U.S. Gov't. Printing Office, Washington.

## GLOSSARY

**Atterberg limits** - Various moisture contents of a soil at which it changes from one major physical condition to another. The Atterberg limits which are most useful for engineering purposes are liquid limit and plastic limit.

The liquid limit is the moisture content at which a soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state.

The plastic limit is the moisture content at which a soil changes from a semi-solid to a plastic state.

Plasticity index (P.I.) is defined as the numerical difference between liquid limit and plastic limit.

**bedrock** - The solid rock underlying the regolith in depths ranging from zero (where exposed by erosion) to several hundred feet.

**bulk density, soil** - The mass of dry soil per unit bulk volume.

**coarse fragments** - Rock or mineral particles greater than 2.0 mm. in diameter.

**consistence** - (a) The resistance of a material to deformation or rupture. (b) The degree of cohesion or adhesion of the soil mass.

**droughty soil** - Sandy or very rapidly drained soil.

**electrical conductivity, soil** - Measurement on a saturated soil paste or a water extract of the soil, made to estimate the salt content of the soil.

**engineering tests** - Laboratory tests made to determine the physical properties of soils that affect their uses for various types of engineering construction.

**erodibility** - Susceptibility to erosion.

- erosion - The wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, ice, or other geological agents, including such processes as gravitational creep.
- fertile soil - A soil with an abundant supply of available elements necessary for plant growth.
- fertilizer - Any organic or inorganic material of natural or synthetic origin that is added to a soil to supply certain elements essential to the growth of plants.
- field capacity (field moisture capacity) - The percentage of water remaining in a soil 2 or 3 days after having been saturated and after free drainage has practically ceased.
- frost heave, in soil - The raising of a surface caused by ice formation in the underlying soil.
- Gleysolic soil - soil developed under wet conditions resulting in reduction of iron and other elements and in gray colors and mottles.
- grain size - The effective diameter of a particle measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods.
- ground water - That portion of the total precipitation which at any particular time is either passing through or standing in the soil and the underlying strata and is free to move under the influence of gravity.
- hardpan - A hardened soil layer, in the lower A or in the B horizon, caused by cementation of soil particles with organic matter or with materials such as silica, sesquioxides, or calcium carbonate.
- impeding horizon - A horizon which hinders the movement of water through soils under the influence of gravity.
- irrigation - The artificial application of water to the soil for the benefit of growing crops.
- parent material - The unconsolidated and more or less chemically weathered mineral or organic matter from which the solum of a soil has developed by pedogenic processes.
- pedogenic - Pertaining to the origin, morphology, genesis, distribution, and classification of soils.
- permeability, soil - The ease with which gases, liquids, or plant roots penetrate or pass through a bulk mass of soil or a layer of soil.

- productive capacity, soil - The capacity of a soil, in its normal environment, for producing a specified plant or sequence of plants under a specified system of management. The "specified" limitations are necessary since no soil can produce all crops with equal success nor can a single system of management produce the same effect on all soils.
- regolith - The unconsolidated mantle of weathered rock and soil material overlying solid rock.
- seepage, soil - (a) The escape of water downward and laterally through the soil.  
(b) The emergence of water from the soil along an extensive line of surface in contrast to a spring where the water emerges from a local spot.
- shrink-swell potential - Tendency of soils to undergo volume changes with changes in water content.
- soil blowing - Soil erosion by wind.
- soil conservation - (a) Protection of the soil against physical loss by erosion or against chemical deterioration; that is, excessive loss of fertility by either natural or artificial means. (b) A combination of all management and land use methods which safeguard the soil against depletion or deterioration by natural or by man-induced factors.
- soil drainage classes - The soil drainage classes are defined in terms of (a) actual moisture content in excess of field moisture capacity, and (b) the extent of the period during which such excess water is present in the plant-root zone. The soil drainage classes are defined as follows:
1. Rapidly drained - The soil moisture content seldom exceeds field capacity in any horizon except immediately after water additions.
  2. Well drained - The soil moisture content does not normally exceed field capacity in any horizon (except possibly the C) for a significant part of the year.
  3. Moderately well drained - The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains for a small but significant period of the year.
  4. Imperfectly drained - The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in subsurface horizons for moderately long periods during the year.
  5. Poorly drained - The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in all horizons for a large part of the year.
  6. Very poorly drained - Free water remains at or within 12 inches of the surface most of the year.

- soil horizon** - A layer of soil or soil material approximately parallel to the land surface; it differs from adjacent genetically related layers in properties such as colour, structure, texture, consistence, and chemical, biological and mineralogical composition.
- soil organic matter** - The organic fraction of the soil; includes plant and animal residues at various stages of decomposition, cells and tissues of soil organisms, and substances synthesized by the soil population.
- soil reaction** - The degree of acidity or alkalinity of a soil, usually expressed as a pH value. Descriptive terms commonly associated with certain ranges in pH are: extremely acid, 4.5; very strongly acid, 4.5 - 5.0; strongly acid, 5.1 - 5.5; moderately acid, 5.6 - 6.0; slightly acid, 6.1 - 6.5; neutral, 6.6 - 7.3; slightly alkaline, 7.4 - 7.8; moderately alkaline, 7.9 - 8.4; strongly alkaline, 8.5 - 9.0; and very strongly alkaline, 9.0.
- soil salinity** - The amount of soluble salts in a soil, expressed in terms of percentage, parts per million, or other convenient ratios.
- soil structure** - The combination or arrangement of primary soil particles into secondary particles, units, or peds. The secondary units are characterized and classified on the basis of size, shape, and degree of distinctness into classes, types, and grades.
- soil texture** - The relative proportions of the various soil separates (sand, silt and clay) in a soil as described by textural classes. The textural classes may be modified by adding suitable adjectives when coarse fragments are present in substantial amounts; for example, "stony silt loam", or "silt loam, stony phase". The sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam classes are further subdivided on the basis of the proportions of the various sand separates present (fine, medium, coarse). The various classes and subclasses and abbreviations are listed in order from coarse to fine as follows: coarse sand (CS), sand (S), fine sand (FS), very fine sand (VFS), loamy coarse sand (LCS), loamy sand (LS), loamy fine sand (LFS), loamy very fine sand (LVFS), coarse sandy loam (CSL), sandy loam (SL), fine sandy loam (FSL), very fine sandy loam (VFSL), loam (L), silt loam (SiL), silt (Si), sandy clay loam (SCL), clay loam (CL), silty clay loam (SiCL), sandy clay (SC), silty clay (SiC), clay (C), heavy clay (HC). The textural classes can also be grouped as follows:
- (a) Coarse-textured group
    - 1) Very coarse textured: CS, S, FS, VFS, LCS, LS, LFS, LVFS.
    - 2) Moderately coarse textured: CSL, SL, FSL, VFSL.
  - (b) Medium-textured group
    - 1) Medium textured: L, SiL, Si.
    - 2) Moderately fine textured: SCL, CL, SiCL.
  - (c) Fine-textured group
    - 1) Fine textured: SC, SiC, C.
    - 2) Very fine textured: HC (more than 60% clay).

soluble sulphate - Water-soluble sulphate found in soil.

solum - The upper horizons of a soil in which the parent material has been modified and in which most plant roots are contained. It usually consists of A and B horizons.

subsurface drainage - Removal by artificial means of excess water below the soil surface.

topsoil - (i) The layer of soil moved in cultivation. (ii) The A-horizon. (iii) The Ah-horizon. (iv) Presumably fertile soil material used to topdress roadbanks, gardens, and lawns.

trafficability - The capacity of a soil to withstand traffic by people, horses, or vehicles.

watershed - A drainage area containing a few thousand acres, from which water drains toward a single channel.

water table - The upper surface of ground water or that level below which the soil is saturated with water.

) .

## SOIL REPORT

### CONTENTS

	Page
Location and Size.....	24
Physiography and Surficial Deposits.....	24
Climate.....	24
Vegetation.....	25
Soils.....	25
Map Unit 1.....	26
Map Unit 2.....	27
Map Unit 3.....	27
Map Unit 4.....	28
Map Unit 5.....	29
Map Unit 6.....	30
Map Unit 7.....	30
Map Unit 8.....	31
Map Unit 9.....	31
M (Organic Soil).....	32
H (Organic Soil).....	33
TM (Organic Soil).....	33
Miscellaneous Land Types.....	34
Soil Interpretations.....	34

References.....	40
Appendix.....	40
Chemical Analyses of the Soils.....	40
Engineering Properties of the Soils.....	45
Glossary.....	50

LIST OF TABLES

(3) Limiting Soil Properties and Hazards.....	35
(4) Soil Limitations and Suitabilities for Selected Uses.....	37
(5) Chemical Analyses of Selected Map Units.....	42
(6) Physical Analyses of Selected Map Units.....	46

SOILS MAP OF CROSS LAKE PROVINCIAL PARK (insert)



## LOCATION AND SIZE

Cross Lake Park is located about 35 miles north of the town of Westlock, but the distance is about 45 miles by road. The Park is only about 20 miles northeast of the smaller centre of Fawcett. The Park comprises sections 23 to 26, 35 and 36, township 65, range 26, west of the fourth meridian; the northeast quarter of section 30 and section 31, township 65, range 25, west of the fourth meridian. The Park is about 4200 acres in size.

## PHYSIOGRAPHY AND SURFICIAL DEPOSITS

Cross Lake Park is situated in part of the Alberta Plains, which is predominantly an undulating plain (23). The topography within the Park ranges from nearly level to strongly rolling, and the surface elevation averages about 2200 feet above sea level. Most of the Park area is drained into Steele Lake, which is drained by French Creek to the west. The westernmost portion of the Park is drained directly by French Creek, which flows into the Pembina River about three miles southeast of Fawcett. The Pembina River in turn flows to the Athabasca River about 15 miles northwest of Fawcett.

The predominant surficial deposits found in Cross Lake Park are till and organic deposits. The most extensive organic deposits border French Creek and the narrow leg of Steele Lake in section 24. A sizeable area extends along the eastern edges of sections 26 and 35 as well. A few areas of sand are found bordering the lake, along the western and northern shores. Till covers most of the remainder of the Park area.

## CLIMATE

Cross Lake Park has a continental type climate characterized by warm summers and cold winters (23). Weather records kept at a recording station about 13 miles northeast of the Park for the years of 1963 through 1972 indicate the following values (21): a mean annual temperature of 33.5° F., mean annual precipitation of 19.08

inches with 67% falling as rain and an average frost free period of 112 days. The coldest month of the year is January with a mean temperature of  $-4.2^{\circ}$  F., and the warmest month is July with a mean temperature of  $61.0^{\circ}$  F.

## VEGETATION

Vegetation is an important factor in soil formation. However the subject is not dealt with extensively herein, because the Parks Planning Branch of Alberta Lands and Forests presently conducts biological studies of Provincial Parks and proposed park areas.

A few of the more common plant species mentioned in the Map Unit descriptions are listed as follows (24): aspen (Populus tremuloides), balsam poplar (Populus balsamifera), white spruce (Picea glauca), black spruce (Picea mariana), jack pine (Pinus banksiana), white birch (Betula papyrifera), larch (Larix laricina), willow (Salix spp.), swamp birch (Betula pumila var. glandulifera), slough grass (Beckmannia syzigachne), various other grasses, blueberry (Vaccinium myrtilloides), bog cranberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea), wild mint (Mentha arvensis var. villosa), horsetail (Equisetum spp.), marsh marigold (Caltha palustris), common cattail (Typha latifolia), arrow-leaved coltsfoot (Petasites sagittatus), cloudberry (Rubus chamaemorus), Labrador tea (Ledum groenlandicum), other forbs, mosses (Sphagnum spp.) and reindeer moss (Cladonia spp.).

## SOILS

Twelve Soil Map Units were recognized in Cross Lake Park, of which two belong to the Gleysolic Order; three to each of the Organic and Luvisolic Orders; and four to the Brunisolic Order in the Canadian System of Soil Classification (4).

Some Map Units exhibit only slight differences among one another. These differences should be delineated however, since they are generally significant with regard to some recreational or engineering use. Average soil horizon thicknesses are quoted in the following descriptions. Comparative horizons in identical profiles often

vary in thickness by as much as ten to 40% from the norm at different points in the landscape.

Common names are used to list the dominant plant species. These are very general lists, and are not attempts at complete or exhaustive species lists.

### Map Unit 1

**Classification:** Orthic Gray Luvisol - 80%

Low Humic Eluviated Gleysol - Peaty phase - 20%

(these soils occur in depressions of inadequate size to be individually outlined).

**Parent Material:** moderately fine textured till.

**Slope:** Luvisol - gently undulating to strongly rolling (0.5+ to 30%).

Gleysol - nearly level (0 to 0.5%).

**Stoniness:** moderately to very stony (2 to 3).

**Drainage:** Luvisol - well drained. Gleysol - poorly drained.

**Vegetation:** Luvisol - predominantly aspen; some willow, balsam poplar, white birch; a few patches of jack pine, Labrador tea, grass, blueberry.

Gleysol - predominantly willow, slough grass, wild mint; some white birch and balsam poplar.

**Profile Description:** Luvisol - 2 to 3 inches L-H; 8 inches Ae loam to fine sandy loam, very friable consistence when moist, soft consistence when dry; 20 inches Bt clay, firm consistence when moist, very dense; BC horizon is clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; Cca occurs 40 to 50 inches below surface, clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; profile contains occasional sand pockets.

Gleysol - 10 inches L-H; 6 inches Aeg loam, very firm consistence when moist; 14 inches Btg clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; BCg horizon is clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; depth of lime horizon not determined.

**Limitations (Luvisol):** slight on gently undulating topography for picnic areas, paths and trails, reservoir sites; moderate on gently undulating topography for all other uses. Specific limitations are excessive slope, surface stoniness, high clay content, slow permeability, susceptibility to frost heave, lack of Ah horizon and moderate shrink-swell potential. Limitations of the Gleysolic soils will be similar to those of Map Unit 7 on page 30.

### Map Unit 2

**Classification:** Degraded Dystric Brunisol.

**Parent Material:** sand.

**Slope:** undulating to gently rolling (2+ to 9%).

**Stoniness:** stone free (0).

**Drainage:** rapidly drained.

**Vegetation:** jack pine, blueberry, grass, reindeer moss, patches of Labrador tea.

**Profile Description:**  $\frac{1}{2}$  to 1 inch L-H, very fragile; 3 inches Ae, 15 inches Bm, BC begins at depth of 15 to 20 inches, all mineral horizons are sand, loose consistence when dry or moist; depth of lime horizon not determined, but deeper than 48 inches.

**Limitations:** slight for buildings and roads, moderate for camp and picnic areas, severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are excessive slope (play areas and reservoir sites), sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard), shallow depth to sand, rapid permeability (droughtiness), groundwater contamination hazard and lack of Ah horizon.

### Map Unit 3

**Classification:** Degraded Dystric Brunisol.

**Parent Material:** sand overlying moderately fine textured till.

**Slope:** gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%).

**Stoniness:** stone free (0).

**Drainage:** well drained.

**Vegetation:** predominantly aspen, small amounts of jack pine and white spruce.

**Profile Description:** 2 inches L-H; 3 inches Ae loamy sand, soft consistence when dry; Bm is sand, loose consistence when dry or moist; IIBC (till) begins at depth of 20 to 24 inches, clay loam, very firm consistence when moist, stony layer usually found at upper boundary of this horizon; depth of lime horizon not determined, but below 40 inches.

**Limitations:** slight for buildings, severe for play areas, lawns and landscaping, septic tank filter fields and reservoir sites; moderate for other uses. Specific limitations are excessive slope (play areas and reservoir sites); sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard); shallow depth to sand; rapid permeability of sand; groundwater contamination hazard; lack of Ah horizon; thin deposit of sand; high clay content, slow permeability, susceptibility to frost heave and moderate shrink-swell potential of till.

#### Map Unit 4

**Classification:** Gleyed Orthic Gray Luvisol.

**Parent Material:** sandy overlay above moderately fine textured till.

**Slope:** gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%).

**Stoniness:** slightly to very stony (1 to 3).

**Drainage:** moderately well drained.

**Vegetation:** predominantly aspen; some white spruce, jack pine and willow; grass, forbs, some blueberry and Labrador tea.

**Profile Description:** 3 to 4 inches L-H; 6 inches Aeg1 sandy loam, very friable consistence when moist; 12 inches Aeg2 coarse sand, often gravelly, loose consistence when moist, this horizon usually very stony at lower boundary; 5 inches Btgj clay, firm consistence when moist, very dense; 15 inches BCgj clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; Ccagj is clay loam, very firm consistence when moist.

**Limitations:** slight to moderate (varies with stoniness) for picnic areas, paths and trails; moderate to severe (varies with stoniness) for camp areas, lawns and landscaping, buildings without basements; moderate for reservoir sites and roads; severe for other uses. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table, excessive slope (play areas and reservoir sites), surface stoniness, shallow depth to sand or gravel, high clay content, slow permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, susceptibility to frost heave, lack of Ah horizon and moderate shrink-swell potential.

Mpa Unit 5

Classification: Gleyed Degraded Eutric Brunisol - 70%.

Orthic Gleysol - 30%.

(these two soils are intimately and unpredictably associated).

Parent Material: sand overlying moderately fine textured till.

Slope: gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%).

Stoniness: stone free (0).

Drainage: Brunisol - imperfectly drained.

Gleysol - poorly drained.

Vegetation: various combinations of willow, jack pine, aspen, balsam poplar, white birch, grass, blueberry, Labrador tea, other forbs.

Profile Description: till commonly found at depth of 24 inches, but varies from 0 to 40 inches (when found at surface, soil profile is Gleyed Orthic Gray Luvisol, containing numerous pockets of coarse sand.)

Brunisol - 4 inches L-H; 1 to 2 inches Aeg and 22 inches Bmg, these horizons are loamy sand, very friable to loose consistence when moist; IICcag (till) 24 inches below surface, clay loam, very firm consistence when moist.

Gleysol - 5 inches H; 24 inches Bg sand, loose consistence when moist, contains pockets of loam material; IICg (till) 24 inches below surface, clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; depth of lime horizon not determined, but below 40 inches.

Limitations.(Brunisol): moderate for camp and picnic areas, paths and trails, buildings without basements, and roads; severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table; sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard); shallow depth to sand; rapid permeability of sand; groundwater contamination hazard; lack of Ah horizon; thin deposit of sand; high clay content, slow permeability, susceptibility to frost heave and moderate shrink-swell potential of till. Limitations of the Gleysolic soils will be similar to those of Map Unit 7 on page 30.

### Map Unit 6

**Classification:** Gleyed Degraded Dystric Brunisol.

**Parent Material:** sand.

**Slope:** gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%).

**Stoniness:** stone free (0).

**Drainage:** moderately well drained.

**Vegetation:** predominantly aspen, grass, forbs; some white spruce and white birch.

**Profile Description:** 3 inches L-H; 6 inches Aeg, 15 inches Bmg, BCg begins about 20 inches below surface, all mineral horizons are sand, loose consistence when moist or dry; depth of lime horizon not determined, but more than 48 inches; water table often found about 48 inches below surface.

**Limitations:** slight for buildings without basements, moderate for camp and picnic areas, buildings with basements, and roads; severe for other uses. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table, excessive slope (play areas and reservoir sites), sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard), shallow depth to sand, rapid permeability (droughtiness), groundwater contamination hazard and lack of Ah horizon.

### Map Unit 7

**Classification:** Orthic and Rego Gleysol.

**Parent Material:** sand.

**Slope:** nearly level to gently undulating (0 to 2%).

**Stoniness:** stone free (0).

**Drainage:** poorly drained.

**Vegetation:** aspen, willow, horsetail, grass; some white spruce, white birch and balsam poplar.

**Profile Description:** 5 inches L-H; 0 to 15 inches Bg, Ccag found 0 to 15 inches below surface, both horizons are sand, loose consistence when moist, a few pockets of loam to clay loam material often found in upper 20 inches of profile; water table usually found about 24 to 40 inches below surface.

**Limitations:** severe for all uses. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table or surface ponding, sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard), shallow depth to sand, rapid permeability, groundwater contamination hazard and lack of Ah horizon.

### Map Unit 8

**Classification:** Humic Eluviated Gleysol- Peaty phase.

**Parent Material:** moderately fine textured till.

**Slope:** gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%).

**Stoniness:** very stony (3).

**Drainage:** poorly drained.

**Vegetation:** various combinations of white spruce and balsam poplar; some aspen, white birch and willow; patches of alder.

**Profile Description:** 10 inches L-H; 7 inches Ahg loam, very friable consistence when moist, soft consistence when dry; 7 inches Aeg loam to sandy loam (pockets of both), very friable consistence when moist, 10 inches Btg clay loam, firm consistence when moist; 3 inches BCg clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; Ccag is clay loam, very firm consistence when moist.

**Limitations:** moderate for picnic areas, paths and trails, severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are organic surface layer more than 6 inches thick, seasonally high groundwater table, surface stoniness, high clay content, slow permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, susceptibility to frost heave and moderate shrink-swell potential.

### Map Unit 9

**Classification:** Gleyed Orthic Gray Luvisol.

**Parent Material:** moderately fine textured till.

**Slope:** gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%).

**Stoniness:** slightly to very stony (1 to 3).



Drainage: imperfectly drained.

Vegetation: white spruce, aspen and balsam poplar.

Profile Description: 3 inches L-H; 6 inches Aeg loam, friable consistence when moist; 18 inches Btg clay loam, firm to very firm consistence when moist; Ccag is clay loam, very firm consistence when moist; occasional small sand pocket occurs in profile.

Limitations: slight to moderate (varies with stoniness) for picnic areas, paths and trails; moderate for reservoir sites and roads; severe for buildings with basements, septic tank filter fields and sanitary land fills; moderate to severe (varies with stoniness) for other uses. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table, surface stoniness, high clay content, slow permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, susceptibility to frost heave, lack of Ah horizon and moderate shrink-swell potential.

#### M (Organic soil)

Classification: Mesisol.

Parent Material: peat.

Slope: nearly level (0 to 0.5%).

Stoniness: stone free (0).

Drainage: very poorly drained.

Vegetation: black spruce, larch, willow, swamp birch, sphagnum moss, Labrador tea; patches of cloudberry, bog cranberry, grass; occasional white birch; some areas have predominantly willow and swamp birch with patches of marsh marigold (very wet areas).

Profile Description: 10 to 20 inches of fibric peat; remainder of profile consists dominantly of mesic peat to a depth of over 60 inches.

Limitations: severe for all uses. Specific limitations are organic soil, seasonally high groundwater table, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave and lack of Ah horizon.

H (Organic soil)

Classification: Humisol.

Parent Material: peat.

Slope: nearly level (0 to 0.5%).

Stoniness: stone free (0).

Drainage: very poorly drained.

Vegetation: slough grass, arrow-leaved coltsfoot, sphagnum moss, willow clumps.

Profile Description: profile consists predominantly of humic peat to a depth of over 60 inches; occasional layer of mesic peat 5 to 10 inches in thickness.

Limitations: severe for all uses. Specific limitations are organic soil, seasonally high groundwater table, groundwater contamination hazard, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave and lack of Ah horizon.

T.M. (Organic soil)

Classification: Terric Mesisol.

Parent Material: peat overlying moderately fine textured till.

Slope: nearly level (0 to 0.5%).

Stoniness: stone free (0).


Drainage: very poorly drained.

Vegetation: black spruce, larch, Labrador tea, sphagnum moss; some areas have dominantly willow, with some balsam poplar and white birch.

Profile Description: 0 to 12 inches fibric peat; 12 to 24 inches mesic peat; 6 to 12 inches humic peat; till found about 18 to 48 inches below surface, clay loam to clay, contains sand pockets; depth of peat sometimes less than 16 inches near boundaries of Terric Mesisol soil areas, the soil can then be classified as Gleysol - Peaty phase.

Limitations: severe for all uses. Specific limitations are organic soil, seasonally high groundwater table, groundwater contamination hazard, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave and lack of Ah Horizon.

## MISCELLANEOUS LAND TYPES

- (1)  This symbol indicates a marshy area. These areas are generally inundated and are characterized by the growth of hydrophytic vegetation such as slough grass, willow clumps, common cattail and others.

## SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

Soil interpretations are predictions of soil performance under different uses, not recommendations for land use (22). They do not eliminate the need for land use planning; rather they are valuable tools that can be used to assist the planner. They indicate limitations and suitabilities of the various kinds of soil for any particular use. The planner can then predict the type and degree of problem likely to be encountered, and plan the kind and amount of on site investigation needed to determine corrective measures. However, the actual number of on site investigations can be reduced considerably by the use of a detailed soil survey map.

Using the basic soil survey data of an area, it is possible to make soil performance predictions, based on soil morphology and the associated soil physical and chemical properties. Soils in the provincial parks are used mainly for recreational pursuits, and as construction materials.

The soils of Map Unit 1 are the most suitable for recreational development in Cross Lake Park, while those of Map Unit 2 are the most suitable for road construction purposes. In general, soils of most other Map Units have only slight limitations for some uses, and moderate to severe limitations for many uses. Soils having severe limitations can be utilized, if the limitations are recognized and steps taken to alleviate them during construction. Common limitations of soils formed from till are surface stoniness, high clay content, slow permeability, moderate shrink-swell potential and susceptibility to frost heave. Limitations of most sandy soils are sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard), shallow depth to sand, rapid permeability (droughtiness) and groundwater contamination hazard.

Many soils, including the Organic, are affected by a seasonally high groundwater table or surface ponding. The organic soils also have a high shrink-swell potential and are susceptible to frost heaving. Other limitations found in Cross Lake Park are excessive slope, organic surface layer more than 6 inches thick, and thin deposit of sand.

Topsoil is in very short supply in Cross Lake Park. A possible source is Map Unit 8 soils. However these soils have an organic surface layer generally more than 6 inches thick, and are poorly drained. Map Units 2 and 6 are good sources of sand, but a source of gravel is not available in the Park.

The limitations and suitabilities of the various soils for selected uses are shown in Table 4. The ratings were determined on the basis of soil morphological physical and chemical properties, as well as steepness of slope. The principal limiting properties are indicated by numerals which correspond to those listed in Table 3. The limiting properties are generally listed in decreasing order of importance in Table 4.

TABLE 3. LIMITING SOIL PROPERTIES AND HAZARDS

- 2) Seasonally high groundwater table or surface ponding.
- 3) Excessive slope.
- 4) Surface stoniness.
- 5) Sandy surface texture (unstable surface - wind erosion hazard).
- 7) High clay content.
- 8) Shallow depth to sand and/or gravel.
- 9) Rapid permeability (droughtiness).
- 11) Slow permeability.
- 12) Groundwater contamination hazard.
- 13) High shrink-swell potential.
- 14) Susceptibility to frost heave.\*

\* Contingent upon an abundant supply of moisture. Frost heaving is not generally considered to be a serious problem for roads in Alberta except in poorly drained locations where the water table is near the soil surface (22). In well drained locations, the water table is normally deep enough so that frost heaving rarely takes place. Consequently the hazard "susceptibility of soils to frost heaving" has been given only minor consideration in determining a soils' overall limitation for a particular use. Exceptions are soils having high or fluctuating water tables. These soils

- 18) Thin Ah horizon .
- 19) Organic soil.
- 20) Organic surface layer more than 6 inches thick.
- 22) Moderate shrink-swell potential.
- 24) Thin deposit of sand or gravel.

In Table 4, the soil limitations for various uses have been designated as slight (S), moderate (M), and severe (V). As a source of topsoil or as a source of sand and gravel the soils are simply rated as good (G), fair (F), poor (P), and unsuitable (U).

TABLE 4. SOIL LIMITATIONS AND SUITABILITIES FOR SELECTED USES

Map Symbol	Soil Limitations For:											Suitability as a Source of	
	Camp Areas	Picnic Areas	Play Areas	Paths and Trails	Lawns & Land-scaping	Buildings		Septic Tank Filter Fields	Sanitary Land Fills	Reservoir Sites	Roads, Parking, Subgrade Material	Topsoil*	Sand and/or gravel
						with basement	without basement						
(2) $\frac{1}{b2}$	M4	S	M4	S	M18,4	M4	M4	M7,11	M7	S	M7,22,14	P18,4	U
(2) $\frac{1}{c2}$	M4	S	M3,4	S	M18,4	M4	M4	M7,11	M7	M3	M7,22,14	P18,4	U
(2) $\frac{1}{c3}$	V4	M4	V4,3	M4	V4,18	V4	V4	M7,11	M7	M3	M7,22,14,4	P18,4	U
(2) $\frac{1}{d2}$	M4	S	V3,4	S	M18,4	M4	M4	M7,11	M7	M3	M7,22,14	P18,4,3	U
(2) $\frac{1}{e2}$	M3,4	M3	V3,4	S	M3,18,4	M3,4	M3,4	M7,11,3	M7	V3	M3,7,22,14	P18,3,4	U
(2) $\frac{1}{f2}$	V3,4	V3	V3,4	M3	V3,18,4	V3,4	V3,4	V3,7,11	M7,3	V3	V3,7,22,14	P18,3,4	U
(2) $\frac{1}{f3}$	V3,4	V3,4	V3,4	M3,4	V3,4,18	V3,4	V3,4	V3,7,11	M7,3	V3	V3,7,22,14,4	P18,3,4	U
$\frac{2}{c0}$	M5	M5	V8,5,3	V5	V8,9,18,5	S	S	V8,9,12	V8,9,12	V8,9,3	S	P18,5	G
$\frac{2}{d0}$	M5	M5	V3,8,5	V5	V8,9,18,5	S	S	V8,9,12	V8,9,12	V8,9,3	S	P18,5,3	G
$\frac{3}{b0}$	M5	M5	V8,5	M5	V8,9,18,5	S	S	V8,9,12,11	M7	V8,9	M22,14	P18,5	P24

Legend: S - none to slight, M - moderate, V - severe, G - good, F - fair, P - poor, U - unsuitable

TABLE 4. SOIL LIMITATIONS AND SUITABILITIES FOR SELECTED USES

Map Symbol	Soil Limitations For:											Suitability as a Source of	
	Camp Areas	Picnic Areas	Play Areas	Paths and Trails	Lawns & Land - scaping	Buildings		Septic Tank Filter Fields	Sanitary Land Fills	Reservoir Sites	Roads, Parking, Subgrade Material	Topsoil *	Sand and/or gravel
						with basement	without basement						
$\frac{3}{c0}$	M5	M5	V8,5,3	M5	V8,9,18,5	S	S	V8,9,12,11	M7	V8,9,3	M22,14	P18,5	P24
$\frac{4}{b1}$	M2	S	V8,2	S	M18,8	V2	M2	V2,7,11,12	V2,12,7	M2	M2,7,22,14	P18	U
$\frac{4}{b3}$	V4,2	M4	V4,8,2	M4	V4,18,8	V2,4	V4,2	V2,7,11,12	V2,12,7	M2	M2,7,22,14,4	P18,4	U
$\frac{4}{c3}$	V4,2	M4	V4,8,3,2	M4	V4,18,8	V2,4	V4,2	V2,7,11,12	V2,12,7	M2,3	M2,7,22,14,4	P18,4	U
<sup>(3)</sup> $\frac{5}{b0}$	M2,5	M5	V8,5,2	M5	V8,18,5	V2	M2	V2,8,9,12,7,11	V2,12,7	V8,9,2	M2,7,22,14	P18,5	P24,2
$\frac{6}{b0}$	M5	M5	V8,5	V5	V8,9,18,5	M2	S	V8,9,12,2	V8,9,12,2	V8,9,2	M2	P18,5	G
$\frac{6}{c0}$	M5	M5	V8,5,3	V5	V8,9,18,5	M2	S	V8,9,12,2	V8,9,12,2	V8,9,2,3	M2	P18,5	G
$\frac{7}{a0}$	V2,5	V2,5	V2,8,5	V2,5	V2,18,5,8	V2	V2	V2,8,9,12	V2,8,9,12	V8,9,2	V2	U	P2
$\frac{7}{b0}$	V2,5	V2,5	V2,8,5	V2,5	V2,18,5,8	V2	V2	V2,8,9,12	V2,8,9,12	V8,9,2	V2	U	P2
$\frac{8}{b3}$	V4,2,20	M4,2,20	V4,20,2	M4,2,20	V4,20,2	V2,4,20	V4,20,2	V2,7,11,12	V2,7,12	V2	V2,20,7,22,14	F20,2	U

Legend: S - none to slight, M - moderate, V - severe, G - good, F - fair, P - poor, U - unsuitable

TABLE 4. SOIL LIMITATIONS AND SUITABILITIES FOR SELECTED USES

Map Symbol	Soil Limitations For:											Suitability as a Source of	
	Camp Areas	Picnic Areas	Play Areas	Paths and Trails	Lawns & Land - scaping	Buildings		Septic Tank Filter Fields	Sanitary Land Fills	Reservoir Sites	Roads, Parking, Subgrade Material	Topsoil*	Sand and/or gravel
						with basement	without basement						
$\frac{9}{51}$	M2	S	M2	S	M18	V2	M2	V2,7,11,12	V2,12,7	M2	M2,7,22,14	P18	U
$\frac{9}{53}$	V4,2	M4	V4,2	M4	V4,18	V2,4	V4,2	V2,7,11,12	V2,12,7	M2	M2,7,22,14,4	P18,4	U
M	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2,18	V19,2,13	V19,2,13	V19,2,12	V19,2,12	V19,2	V19,2,13,14	U	U
H	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2,18	V19,2,13	V19,2,13	V19,2,12	V19,2,12	V19,2	V19,2,13,14	U	U
TM	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2	V19,2,18	V19,2,13	V19,2,13	V19,2,12	V19,2,12	V19,2	V19,2,13,14	U	U

Legend: S - none to slight, M - moderate, V - severe, G - good, F - fair, P - poor, U - unsuitable



- (2) These ratings pertain to the Luvisolic soils of Map Unit 1. Ratings of the Gleysolic soils will be similar to those of Map Unit 7 soils.
- (3) These ratings pertain to the Brunisolic soils of Map Unit 5. Ratings of the Gleysolic soils will be similar to those of Map Unit 7 soils.

#### REFERENCES

20. Buckman, H. O. and N. C. Brady. 1957. *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. 6th ed. Macmillan. New York. 567 pp.
21. Environment Canada. *Monthly Record Meteorological Observations in Canada, 1963 to 1972*. Information Canada, Ottawa.
22. Greenlee, G. M. 1974. *Soil Survey of Beauvais Lake Provincial Park and Interpretation for Recreational Use*. Alberta Institute of Pedology Number M-74-11. Alberta Research, Edmonton. 66 pp.
23. Kjearsgaard, A. A. 1972. *Soil Survey of the Tawatinaw Map Sheet (83 - I)*. University of Alberta Bulletin No. SS-12, Edmonton. 103 pp.
24. Moss, E. H. 1959. *Flora of Alberta*. Univ. of Toronto Press. 546 pp.
25. Terzaghi, K., and R. B. Peck. 1967. *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice*. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 729 pp.
26. United States Department of Agriculture. 1957. *The Yearbook of Agriculture*. U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C. 784 pp.
27. Williams, H. E., I. S. Allison, C. R. Stauffer, and G. A. Thiel. 1960. *Geology: Principles and Processes*, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill. New York, Toronto, London. 491 pp.

#### APPENDIX

##### Chemical Analyses of the Soils

The chemical analyses carried out on representative soil samples are presented in Table 5. The samples analyzed are surface and subsoil samples taken of the map units at representative sites. Surface samples are taken from the 0 to six inch depth, and the subsoil samples are usually taken in six inch increments between the six and 12 inch depths. Each surface sample consists of five separate samples taken at random locations and

TABLE 5. CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF SELECTED MAP UNITS \*

Map Unit	Sample Depth (inches)	Pounds per Acre			Sodium**	Sulphur**	Soil Reaction (pH)	Conductivity (mmhos.)	Sulphate **	Organic Matter**	Free Lime ** (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	REMARKS
		Nitrogen (N)	Phosphorus (P)	Potassium (K)								
1	0-6	4	62	440	L-	8.0	5.4	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
1	6-12	3	2	380	L-	-	5.1	0.1	-	L-	-	native forest
2	0-6	1	229	270	L-	3.2	5.6	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
2	6-12	2	287	125	L-	-	5.9	0.1	-	L-	-	native forest
3	0-6	3	185	475	L-	4.6	5.6	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
3	6-12	1	287	375	L-	-	5.1	0.1	-	L-	-	native forest
4	0-6	2	54	310	L-	3.2	5.1	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
4	6-12	2	21	155	L-	-	5.9	0.1	-	L	-	native forest
6	0-6	3	158	380	L-	5.8	5.3	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
6	6-12	2	287	230	L-	-	5.4	0.1	-	L-	-	native forest
9	0-6	2	40	415	L-	2.6	6.1	0.2	-	L	-	native forest
9	6-12	0	4	315	L-	-	7.1	0.2	-	L+	-	native forest

\* Chemical Analyses done by Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

\*\* These tests are rated into four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L), and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus or minus sign. The tests for organic matter and free lime are estimates only.

\*\* Parts per million

combined into one composite sample. A brief explanation of the significance of each chemical analysis follows.

1. Nitrogen.

Plant growth in regions where rainfall is adequate is determined more by soil nitrogen than by any other mineral element supplied by the soil (26). Nitrogen is of special importance because plants need it in rather large amounts and it is easily lost from the soil.

Soil nitrogen supply can be markedly affected by climatic conditions, native vegetation, and soil texture.

In humid areas, where forests predominate, the higher rainfall causes much leaching and the removal of most soil nitrogen from upper horizons. In contrast, in areas of somewhat limited rainfall where grass predominates, much more nitrogen remains near the soil surface.

A clay or clay loam soil commonly contains two to three times as much nitrogen as does a very sandy soil under the same type of climatic conditions. Poorer aeration and less leaching favour the retention of nitrogen in the finer textured soils.

In general, low soil nitrogen levels will likely occur in virgin soils, in soils low in organic matter, and in soils that are cold or poorly drained.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available nitrogen, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, 0 to 20; medium, 21 to 50; and high, 51 or more.\*

The primary natural source of soil nitrogen is air. Important artificial sources are fertilizers, animal manures, green manures, and various crop residues.

2. Phosphorus.

Phosphorus is present in all living tissue. It is particularly concentrated in the younger parts of the plant, and in the flowers and seed (26).

As phosphorus does not move appreciably in the soil, accumulations are found primarily in the first foot of soil.

\* Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

Most of the total phosphorus supply is tied up chemically in a form that is not usable by plants; it is not available to the growing plant. The available soil phosphorus originates from the breakdown of soil minerals and soil organic matter or from the addition of phosphate fertilizer. The available soil phosphorus is usually only about one percent of the total soil phosphorus.

Soil tests show that a majority of Alberta soils are low in available phosphorus.\* Plants respond markedly to phosphate fertilizer on deficient soils.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available phosphorus, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, 0 to 30; medium, 31 to 70; and high, 71 or more.

### 3. Potassium.

Plants need large amounts of potassium, one of the three major plant nutrients (26). It is supplied to roots by soil minerals, artificial fertilizers, manures and crop residues.

Most Alberta soils contain adequate amounts of potassium.\* Deficiencies occur most frequently on peat soils or poorly drained soils.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available potassium, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, 0 to 150; medium, 151 to 300; and high, 301 or more.

### 4. Sulphur.

Sulphur is essential to life (26). Many plants use about as much sulphur as they do phosphorus. Plants obtain sulphur from the soil, rain and irrigation water, artificial fertilizers, and the atmosphere.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available sulphur are: low (L), medium (M), high (H) and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus (+) or minus (-) sign.\*

The soil test determines whether adequate amounts of sulphur are available for normal plant growth. Where the sulphur test is low, a sulphur containing fertilizer

\* Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

should be applied; where it is medium, a field test using sulphur and non-sulphur fertilizers should be conducted. Plant responses to sulphur fertilizer can vary considerably within very small areas.

5. Soil Reaction (pH).

This test measures soil acidity or alkalinity. Acid soils have pH values of less than 6.6; decreasing pH values indicate increasing soil acidity. Neutral soils have pH values of 6.6 to 7.3; alkaline soils have pH values of more than 7.3. Increasing pH values indicate increasing soil alkalinity.

The best pH range for most crops in Alberta is 5.5 to 7.5.\*

6. Soil Salinity and Conductivity Test.

Conductivity is a measure of the total soluble salt concentration in a soil. Soluble salts are present in soils at all times; however, when the salt concentration is high, plant growth is reduced and the soil is considered "saline". Sulphates and sodium are determined to identify specific salts commonly causing salinity.

In general, lawn growth is affected on soils having conductivity readings as follows:\*

0 to 1, negligible salt effects.

1.1 to 3, lawn growth noticeably restricted.

3.1 or more, lawn growth considerably restricted.

The sulphate and sodium tests are rated in four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L) and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus (+) or minus (-) sign.

A high sodium test may indicate a solonchic soil which is characterized by poor physical structure and requires special management. A high sulphate test may indicate a hazard of sulphate attack on concrete, indicating a need for sulphate resistant concrete to be used in constructing foundations and underground conduits.

\* Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

## 7. Organic Matter and Free Lime.

These tests are estimates of the amounts contained in the soil. Results are rated into four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L) and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus (+) or minus (-) sign. \*

Organic matter influences physical and chemical properties of soils far out of proportion to the small quantities contained therein (20). It commonly accounts for at least half the cation exchange capacity of soils and is responsible, perhaps more than any other single factor, for the stability of soil aggregates. Furthermore, it supplies energy and body building constituents for the soil microorganisms.

Free lime is present in some soils and may reduce nutrient availability to plants in the following ways (20):

- a) Deficiencies of available iron, manganese, copper or zinc may be induced.
- b) Phosphate availability may decrease due to the formation of complex and insoluble calcium phosphates.
- c) The uptake and utilization of boron may be hindered.
- d) The high pH, in itself, may be detrimental.

Free lime cannot be readily removed from the soil. The only practical way to counteract its effect is to increase soil organic matter content.

### Engineering Properties of the Soils

Engineering test data determined on representative soil samples are presented in Table 6. The samples analyzed were taken from subsoils of the map units at representative sites. Depth of sampling was between four and five feet below the surface. A brief description of the significance of each analytical parameter follows:

\* Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

TABLE 6. PHYSICAL ANALYSES OF SELECTED MAP UNITS \*

Map Unit	Depth (feet)	Field Moisture %	Mechanical Analysis											Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	Optimum Moisture % **	Maximum Dry Density lb/ft **	Classification			
			Percentage Passing Sieve							Percentage Smaller than								AA SHO	Unified	USDA	
			1 inch	3/4 inch	5/8 inch	#4 (4.7 mm.)	#10 (2.0 mm.)	#40 (0.42 mm.)	#200 (0.074 mm.)	0.05 mm.	0.005 mm.	0.002 mm.	0.001 mm.								
1	4-5	14	100	100	100	100	100	94	68	65	41	32	28	28	9	18	106	A-4 (7)	CL	CL	

\* Map Units developed on similar parent material: 1,3,4,5,8 and 9.

\*\* These values are obtained from charts worked out by the Highways Testing Laboratory, Alberta Department of Highways.

1. Field Moisture Percentage.

This is a determination of the natural moisture content of the soil as it occurs in the field.

For any potential borrow material it is essential to know in advance of construction whether, for the compaction procedure likely to be specified, the moisture content in the field is excessive or deficient with respect to the optimum value for that procedure (25).

2. Mechanical Analysis.

The particle size distribution within a soil is determined by laboratory tests, usually referred to as the mechanical analysis of the soil (11). The amounts of the gravel and sand fractions are determined by sieving, while the silt and clay contents are determined by sedimentation techniques. The amount of each soil separate contained in a soil determines its texture.

Where soil texture is known approximations and estimates can be made of soil properties, such as permeability, water holding capacity, shrink-swell potential, bearing value, susceptibility to frost heave, adaptability to soil cement construction, etc.

3. Plasticity.

In soil mechanics, plasticity is defined as that property of a material which allows it to be deformed rapidly, without rupture, without elastic rebound, and without volume change (7).

Tests have been devised to determine the moisture content of a soil at which it changes from one major physical condition to another (11). These tests, conducted on the material passing the number 40 sieve (0.42 mm.) have been used as key factors in classifying soils for structural purposes.

The tests used for estimating plasticity are plastic limit, liquid limit, and plasticity index. The plastic limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a semisolid to a plastic state. The liquid limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state. The plasticity index is the numerical difference between the liquid and plastic limits. This parameter gives the range in



moisture content at which a soil is in a plastic condition. A small plasticity index, such as five, indicates that a small change in moisture content will change the soil from a semisolid to a liquid condition. A large plasticity index, such as 20, shows that a considerable amount of water can be added before a soil changes to a liquid condition.

#### 4. Moisture-Density Relationships.

The purpose of every laboratory compaction test is to determine a moisture density curve comparable to that for the same material when compacted in the field by means of the equipment and procedures likely to be used (25). Most of the current methods are derived from the procedure known as the "Standard Proctor Test". A sample of soil is dried, pulverized, and separated into two size fractions, using a number four sieve. The finer fraction is divided into six or eight equal portions. Each portion is mixed thoroughly with a different quantity of water so that each has a different water content, ranging from nearly zero to about midway between the liquid and plastic limits. Each portion is compacted in a container with exactly the same compactive effort; its water content and weight of solids per cubic foot of compacted soils, usually termed the "dry density", are determined. The dry density after compaction decreases conspicuously with increasing water content and a curve is plotted showing the relation between dry density and water content. The "optimum moisture content", according to the Standard Proctor Test, is the water content at which the dry density is a maximum ("maximum dry density").

#### 5. Soil Classification.

In order that soils may be evaluated, it is necessary to devise systems or methods for identifying soils with similar properties and then to follow this identification with a grouping or classification of soils that perform in a similar manner when their densities, moisture contents, textures, etc. are similar (11). A brief description of three widely used soil classification systems follows.

##### (a) AASHO Classification System.

The American Association of State Highway Officials system is an engineering property classification based on field performance of highways. In the

AASHTO system, soil material is classified into seven basic groups with each group having about the same general load carrying capacity and service. The groups are designated A-1 to A-7; the best soils for road subgrades are classified A-1, the next best A-2, etc., with the poorest soils being classified as A-7.

The seven basic groups are further divided into subgroups with a group index that was devised to approximate within group evaluations. Group indexes range from zero for the best subgrades to 20 for the poorest.

(b) Unified Soil Classification System.

In this system, the soils are identified according to their textures and plasticities, and are grouped according to their performance as engineering construction materials. Soil materials are divided into coarse grained soils, fine grained soils, and highly organic soils. The coarse grained soils are subdivided into eight classes: the fine grained soils into six classes; and there is one class of highly organic soils. Coarse grained soils are those that have 50% or less of material passing the number 200 sieve; fine grained soils have more than 50% of material passing the number 200 sieve. The letters G, S, C, M and O stand for gravel, sand, clay, silt, and organic material respectively. The highly organic soils are designated by the symbol "pt". Additional letters used in the secondary division of the coarse grained soils are W and P, meaning well graded and poorly graded, respectively. Additional letters used in the secondary divisions of the fine grained soils are L and H, meaning relatively low liquid limit and relatively high liquid limit, respectively.

The designation CL for example, indicates inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity; SW indicates well graded sands; and SC indicates clayey sands and sand-clay mixtures.

(c) United States Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System.

The system of textural soil classification, used by Canadian Soil scientists, is known as the USDA system. It is defined under "soil texture" in the glossary. There is some variation in the particle size limits between the USDA system and the two engineering systems just described, but the differences are not great. A comparison of the different systems is given in the PCA Soil Primer (11).

GLOSSARY

- adsorption complex** - The group of substances in the soil capable of adsorbing other materials.
- aeration, soil** - The process by which air in the soil is replaced by air from the atmosphere.
- available nutrient** - The portion of any element or compound in the soil that can be readily adsorbed and assimilated by growing plants.
- base saturation percentage** - The extent to which the adsorption complex of a soil is saturated with exchangeable cations other than hydrogen and aluminum.
- Brunisolic** - An Order of soils whose horizons are developed sufficiently to exclude the soils from the Regosolic Order, but that lack the degrees or kinds of horizon development specified for soils of the other Orders.
- Degraded** - A leached and weathered state of a soil, usually indicated by morphological features such as an eluviated, light colored A (Ae) horizon.
- Dystric Brunisol** - A Great Group of soils in the Brunisolic Order. The soils may have Ah horizons less than 5 cm. (2 inches) thick. They have Bm horizons in which the base saturation is usually 65% to 100% and the pH is usually 5.5 or lower.
- Eutric Brunisol** - A Great Group of soils in the Brunisolic Order. The soils may have Ah horizons less than 5 cm. (2 inches) thick, and they have Bm horizons in which the base saturation is 100%.
- exchangeable cation** - A cation that is held by the adsorption complex of the soil and is easily exchanged with other cations of neutral salt solutions.
- fibric** - Composed of organic soil material containing large amounts of weakly decomposed fiber whose botanical origin is readily identifiable.
- firm consistence** - The consistence at which a moist soil offers distinctly noticeable resistance to crushing, but can be crushed with moderate pressure between the thumb and forefinger.
- forb** - A broadleaf seed producing plant, other than grass, that does not develop persistent woody tissue, but dies down at the end of a growing season.
- friable consistence** - Consistence at which a moist soil crushes easily under gentle pressure between the thumb and forefinger, and coheres when pressed together.

- gleying (of soil) - Characterized by gray colors, or prominent mottling, or both, indicative of permanent or periodic reducing conditions.
- Gleysolic - An Order of soils that are saturated with water and are under reducing conditions continuously or during some period of the year, unless they are artificially drained. They have developed under hydrophytic vegetation and may be expected to support hydrophytic vegetation if left undisturbed.
- gravel - Rounded and subrounded rock or mineral fragments greater than 2 mm. and up to 7.6 cm. (3 inches) in diameter.
- Gray Luvisol - A Luvisolic soil in which the Ah horizon, if present, is less than 5 cm. (2 inches) thick.
- Great Group - The fifth category in the Canadian system of soil classification. It is a taxonomic group of soils having certain morphological features in common, and a similar pedogenic environment.
- green manure - Plant material incorporated with the soil, while the plant material is still green. The purpose is to improve the soil.
- humic - Composed of highly decomposed organic soil material containing little fiber.
- Humic Eluviated Gleysol - A Gleysolic soil that, when virgin, has an Ah horizon more than 3 inches (8 cm.) thick, and with Aeg and Btg horizons.
- humification - The process by which organic matter decomposes to form humus.
- Humisol - A Great Group of soils in the Organic Order. The diagnostic layer is composed dominantly of humic material.
- humus - (1) The fraction of the soil organic matter that remains after most of the added plant and animal residues have been decomposed. It is usually dark colored. (2) Humus is also used in a broader sense to designate the humus forms referred to as forest humus. (3) All the dead organic material on and in the soil that undergoes continuous breakdown, change, and synthesis.
- hydrophyte - Plant that lives in water or in very wet soil.
- lime (in soil) - A soil constituent consisting principally of calcium carbonate, and including magnesium carbonate and perhaps other materials.
- loose consistence - Consistence at which a moist or dry soil is noncoherent.
- Low Humic Eluviated Gleysol - A Gleysolic soil with no Ah horizon or an Ah horizon up to 8 cm. (3 inches) thick, and with Aeg and Btg horizons.

- Luvisolic** - An Order of soils that have developed under climatic conditions ranging from mild humid to very cold humid. The soils have developed under deciduous, mixed deciduous-coniferous, or boreal forests, or under mixed forest in the forest-grassland transitional zones. These soils have eluviated light coloured surface (Ae) horizons, brownish illuvial B (Bt) horizons in which silicate clay is the main accumulation product, and parent materials that are generally neutral to alkaline in reaction.
- mesic** - Composed of organic soil material at a stage of decomposition between that of fibric and humic materials.
- Mesisol** - A great group of soils in the Organic Order. The diagnostic layer is composed dominantly of mesic material.
- mottling** - Spotting or blotching of different colors or shades of color interspersed with the dominant color.
- Order, soil** - The highest category in the Canadian system of soil classification. All the soils within the Order have one or more characteristics in common.
- Organic** - An order of soils that have developed dominantly from organic deposits that are saturated for most of the year, or are artificially drained, and contain 30% or more organic matter to certain specified depths.
- Orthic** - Refers to the modal or central concept in the definition of a soil order.
- particle size distribution** - The amounts of the various soil separates in a soil sample, usually expressed as weight percentage.
- peat** - Unconsolidated soil material consisting largely of undecomposed, or only slightly decomposed organic matter.
- Peaty phase (of soil)** - Soil having 6 to 16 inches (15 to 40 cm.) of mixed peat or 6 to 24 inches (15 to 60 cm.) of fibric moss peat on the surface.
- Rego** - A modifying adjective used to indicate a soil that lacks a B horizon.
- soft consistence** - Consistence at which a dry soil mass is weakly coherent and fragile, and breaks to a powder or individual grains under very slight pressure.
- soil aggregate** - A group of soil particles cohering so as to behave mechanically as a unit.

soil horizon - A layer of soil or soil material approximately parallel to the land surface; it differs from adjacent genetically related layers in physical properties such as color, structure, texture, and consistence; and chemical, biological, and mineralogical composition. A layer refers primarily to organic strata (layers) that may be found in organic soils, at the surface of mineral soils, or at any depth beneath the surface in buried soils, or overlying geological deposits. Soil horizons and layers are designated by letters according to the following definitions:

1) Organic Layers - These contain more than 17% organic carbon by weight. Organic layers designated as L-F-H, commonly abbreviated to L-H, have developed primarily from leaves, twigs, woody materials and a minor component of mosses. They are defined as follows:

L - An accumulation of organic matter in which the original structures are easily discernible.

F - An accumulation of partly decomposed organic matter. The original structures in part are difficult to recognize.

H - An accumulation of decomposed organic matter in which the original structures are indiscernible. This material differs from the F layer by its greater humification chiefly through the action of organisms.

2) Mineral Horizons and Layers - These contain less organic carbon than that specified for organic layers. They are defined as follows:

A - A mineral horizon formed at or near the surface in the zone of removal of materials in solution or suspension or maximum in situ accumulation of organic carbon or both.

B - A mineral horizon characterized by enrichment in organic carbon, sesquioxides, or clay, or by the development of soil structure or by a change of color denoting hydrolysis, reduction, or oxidation.

C - A mineral horizon comparatively unaffected by the pedogenic processes operative in A and B, except gleying, and the accumulation of carbonates and more soluble salts. Roman numerals are prefixed to horizon designations to indicate unconsolidated lithologic discontinuities in the profile. Roman numeral I is understood for the uppermost material and therefore is not written. Subsequent contrasting materials are numbered consecutively in the order in which they are encountered downward, that is II, III and so on.

### 3) Lowercase Suffixes:

ca - A horizon of secondary carbonate enrichment where the concentration of lime exceeds that in the unenriched parent material.

e - A horizon characterized by removal of clay, iron, aluminum, or organic matter, alone or in combination, and lighter colored when dry than an underlying B horizon. It is used with A (Ae).

g - A horizon characterized by gray colors, or prominent mottling indicative of permanent or periodic intense reduction, or both, and caused by conditions of imperfect or poor drainage. Examples are Aeg, Btg, Bg and Cg.

h - A horizon enriched with organic matter.

Ah - A horizon that is either darker than the underlying horizon, or contains 0.5% more organic carbon than the 1C, or both. It contains less than 1% organic carbon by weight.

j - This is used as a modifier of suffixes e, g and t to denote an expression of, but failure to meet, the specified limits of the suffix it modifies - for example Ae<sub>j</sub> is an eluvial horizon that is thin, discontinuous or faintly discernible.

m - A horizon slightly altered by hydrolysis, oxidation, or solution, or all three, to give a change in color, or structure, or both. This suffix can be used as Bm, Bmg or Bmk.

t - A horizon enriched with silicate clay. It is used with B alone (Bt), with B and g (Btg) and with others.

soil morphology - The color, structural, and textural characteristics of the soil or any of its parts.

soil ped - A unit of soil structure such as a prism, block, or granule, which is formed by natural processes.

soil profile - A vertical section of the soil through all its horizons and extending into the parent material.

soil separate - Mineral particles, less than 2.0 mm. in equivalent diameter, ranging between specified size limits. The names and size limits of separates recognized in Canada and the United States are very coarse sand, 2.0 to 1.0 mm.; coarse sand, 1.0 to 0.5 mm.; medium sand, 0.5 to 0.25 mm.; fine sand, 0.25 to 0.10 mm.; very fine sand, 0.10 to 0.05 mm.; silt, 0.05 to 0.002 mm.; and clay, less than 0.002 mm.

**Subgroup, soil** - The fourth category in the Canadian soil classification system. These soils are subdivisions of the Great Groups, and therefore, each soil is defined more specifically.

**terrific layer** - An unconsolidated mineral substratum underlying organic soil material.

**till** - Unstratified glacial drift deposited directly by the ice and consisting of clay, sand, gravel and boulders intermingled in any proportion.

**very firm consistence** - Consistence at which moist soil material crushes under strong pressure, and is barely crushable between the thumb and forefinger.


**very friable consistence** - Consistence at which moist soil material is crushed under very gentle pressure, but coheres when pressed together.

**water table** - The upper surface of the zone of saturation.



SOILS MAP OF CROSS LAKE PROVINCIAL PARK

Tp - 65, R - 25 - 26, W - 4th



Soil Classification:

MAP UNIT	SOIL ORDER	SOIL SUBGROUP	SOIL PARENT MATERIAL
1	Luviosolic	Orthic Gray Luvisol - 80%	moderately fine textured till
	Gleysolic	Low Humic Eluviated Gleysol - Peaty phase - 20%	
2	Brunisolic	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	sand
3	Brunisolic	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	sand overlying moderately fine textured till
4	Luviosolic	Gleyed Orthic Gray Luvisol	sandy overlay above moderately fine textured till
5	Brunisolic	Gleyed Degraded Eutric Brunisol - 70%	sand overlying moderately fine textured till
	Gleysolic	Orthic Gleysol - 30%	
6	Brunisolic	Gleyed Degraded Dystric Brunisol	sand
7	Gleysolic	Orthic and Rego Gleysol	sand
8	Gleysolic	Humic Eluviated Gleysol - Peaty phase	moderately fine textured till
9	Luviosolic	Gleyed Orthic Gray Luvisol	moderately fine textured till
M	Organic	undifferentiated Mesisol	peat
H	Organic	undifferentiated Humisol	peat
T.M.	Organic	undifferentiated Terric Mesisol	peat overlying moderately fine textured till

Legend:


- Map Symbol:
- 9 ← map unit
  - b 3 ← surface stoniness rating
  - ← topographic class
  - marshy area
  - soil line
  - park boundary

Compiled from uncontrolled mosaic.

Mapped and Compiled by: G. M. Greenlee  
Soils Division

**Alberta**  
RESEARCH COUNCIL  
1975

APPROXIMATE SCALE:



OFR 1975-1